

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/478,006	01/05/2000	ARNAUD GOURDOL	P2413-515	1054
21839	7590 04/21/2003			
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			JOSEPH, THOMAS J	
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA 22313-1404		, 	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	1
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	//
				'\

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/478,006	GOURDOL ET AL.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Thomas J Joseph	2174		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 4-2-2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 March 2003</u> . Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3,8,13 and 27</u> .				
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-7,9-12,14-26 and 28-52.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\_\_\_$ is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:	Λ	Bustine Kincan		
	myosish	KRISTINE KINCAID SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Issues including, "wherein the object characteristic is a number of files in the object...", as cited in claims 19 and 22 require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant asserts that the art of record fails to teach different size of icon images that are based upon a user preference value. The Examiner responds by stating that the zoom taught by Nowlan (fig. 6, #42) demonstrate icon images that are based upon a user preference value.